



Account Opening 1.1 API

Data Dictionary

Document History

Date	Changes
14 November 2025	Update: Update wording for ERM
03 March 2025	Update: Wording for how to interpret mailbox velocity
11 December 2024	Update: Included disclaimer for usage of Identity Risk Model
10 October 2024	Update: Inclusion of Email Risk Score and mailbox_velocity into Primary Email Address Checks on page 16. Branding update to remove Ekata and reflect Mastercard

Table of Contents

Document History	1
Data Dictionary Overview	3
Definition & Assessing Risk	3
Email Valid	3
Email First Seen Days	4
Email is Disposable	4
Email Domain Creation Date	5
Email to Name	6
Email Risk Score	7
Email Mailbox Velocity	8
IP Risk (Flag versus Score)	9
IP Last Seen Days	10
IP Geolocation Country Code	11
IP Geolocation Subdivision	11
IP Phone Distance	12
IP Address Distance	13
Phone Valid	14
Phone Line Type	14
Phone Carrier	15
Phone Country Code	16
Phone Last Seen Days	17
Phone Email First Seen Days	18
Phone to Name	19
Phone to Address	20
Address Validity Level	21
Address to Name	22
Identity Network Score	23
Identity Risk Score	24
Upgrading Score Versions	25
Disclaimers	26



Data Dictionary Overview

The following data dictionary provides information to help you understand what each of the response attributes mean, their field values, and how to assess the risk based on the attribute output in relation to your customer's data.

Note that for any attribute that lists a numeric range for field values, specific thresholds may vary by customer.

Definition & Assessing Risk

Email Valid

Definition

- This attribute returns a true/false response that signals whether the email supplied is a valid email address or not.
- This attribute is from our Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<i>null</i> : Input email is missing <i>true</i> : Neutral risk <i>false</i> : High risk	Behavior: Submits their real email address, which is a normal working email Ekata data: Identifies the email as valid if available in Ekata's Network.	Behavior: Prefers temporary or newly created emails or may enter a fake email if they do not expect it to be verified. Ekata data: Emails may be identified as valid



Email First Seen Days

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Network, which is sourced from Ekata's global customers.
- This attribute indicates the first time that the input email has been seen in Ekata's network.
- The email address does not need to be active for it to be in Ekata's network.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<i>null</i> : Input email is missing 0: Never seen before, high risk 1-90: Very high risk 91-365: Neutral risk 366+: Low risk	Behavior: Submits their real email address, which is typically used online frequently. Ekata data: Likely first saw the email a long time ago. Some emails have never been seen where coverage is low. Emails seen only recently are less common, since consumers do not change emails often.	Behavior: Typically utilizes disposable or temporary emails and change email addresses frequently. If they are impersonating a victim, they rarely use the victim's email unless they have gained full login access. Ekata data: Likely have never seen the email before or have seen it only recently. Emails first seen a long time ago are unlikely.

Email is Disposable

Definition

- This attribute returns a true/false response that signals whether the input email is from a known disposable domain or not.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<i>null</i> : Input email is missing <i>true</i> : High Risk <i>false</i> : Low Risk	Behavior: Submits their real email address, which is a normal working static email. Ekata data: Identifies the email as disposable or not if available in Ekata's Network.	Behavior: Prefers temporary disposable emails. Ekata data: Emails may be identified as disposable.



Email Domain Creation Date

Definition

- This feature comes from the Ekata Identity Graph which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates the date that the email domain was registered. Example: Gmail domain is dated to 2004.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Input email is missing, or email domain is invalid Timestamped date, e.g., 2004-08-26	Behavior: Submits a real email with an established domain such as Gmail, Outlook, etc. Ekata data: Often returns a domain creation date that is dated at least 5 years back from current date.	Behavior: Prefers creating a new email domain or using a higher risk domain to fake being a legitimate business or to avoid having a history of use. Ekata data: Will often return a domain creation date that is dated very recently, within the last year.



Email to Name

Definition

- This attribute is derived from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute checks the match status between the primary email and name, if both are provided in the API request.
- This attribute also validates by checking the provided name against the name associated in Ekata Identity Graph email records to determine if there is a match.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Input email or name is missing, or email is invalid	Behavior: Submits their real name and real email in signups.	Behavior: Prefers temporary or disposable emails that they change frequently. If they are impersonating a victim, they rarely use the victim’s email unless they have gained full login access.
Match: Name linked to email matches Input name; low risk	Ekata data: Often returns a match unless coverage is low.	Ekata data: In most scenarios there will not be a name associated with the email.
No-match: Name linked to email was found but did not match Input name; high risk		
Not found: No name was found associated with email		



Email Risk Score

Definition

- Email Risk Score assesses the risk level of an email address. The score is derived from a model that leverages insights from the Identity Network including email tumbling detection, email linkages to other PII inputs and disposable email domain list service
- To return a score, an email address must be provided. For best results, it is recommended sending at least one of the following as well for geolocation purposes:
 - Phone (primary or secondary)
 - Address (primary or secondary)
 - IP Address

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>Null</i>: A service timeout is experienced Range: 0 - 1 <~0.8: Low risk ~0.8-0.97: Medium risk >~0.97: High risk</p> <p>Note: Risk thresholds will vary per customer and country as it depends on customer’s specific risk tolerance and regional behavior. This is why providing phone, address, or IP address is important for geolocation purposes.</p>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mailbox velocity is low• Email domain is not disposable• Email first seen 2 or more years ago	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mailbox velocity is high• Email first seen today• Email domain is disposable



Email Mailbox Velocity

Definition

- An integer value for the velocity (frequency) a mailbox has been seen in the past 180 days.
- A mailbox is the un-tumbled name part of an email address. For example: johndoe@gmail.com, john.doe@gmail.com, and johndoe+123abc@gmail.com all resolve to the same mailbox.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: The mailbox has not been seen in 180 days, or a service timeout has been experienced</p> <p>Range: 1 – Infinity</p> <p><i>null</i>: Neutral Risk</p> <p>1 – 5: Low Risk</p> <p>5 – 10: Neutral Risk</p> <p>11 – 20: Medium Risk</p> <p>21 – 100: High risk</p> <p>>100: Very high risk</p> <p>Note: Risk thresholds will vary per customer and country as it depends on customer's specific risk tolerance and regional behavior. This is why providing phone, address, or IP address is important for geolocation purposes.</p>	<p>Behavior: Typically, will have a mailbox velocity (mailbox was seen) of less than 10 times in the past 180 days.</p>	<p>Behavior: Typically, will have a mailbox velocity (mailbox was seen) of more than 20 times in the past 180 days.</p>



IP Risk (Flag versus Score)

Definition

This attribute helps identify the overall risk of an IP. The attribute considers metadata sourced by Ekata's Identity Graph, e.g., IP is associated with a VPN, the relative risk that the VPN carries, and behavioral characteristics sourced by Ekata's Identity Network for the associated VPN and the IP address.

- The Flag is a numeric value based on set pre-determined score thresholds set in Ekata's IP risk model, that translates to 'TRUE' or 'FALSE'.
- The Flag is less complicated to interpret and requires minimum maintenance.
- The Flag may be sufficient for an implementation approach of basic rules or applications.
- The Score allows for greater control & flexibility. Results are determined by risk tolerance threshold defined and set by the customer.
- Leveraging the Flag or the Score is determined on the approach of risk assessment implemented. Flag and Score both perform well in machine learning models and rules-based engines.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Input IP is missing, or IP is invalid	Behavior: Uses their normal IP address on their laptop, mobile phone, desktop, or other device, from the various places they shop.	Behavior: Prefers proxy IPs, public Wi-Fi, and other methods to hide their identity, and behaves in different ways, e.g., submitting several transactions across different merchants in quick succession.
Flag		
False: Neutral risk		
True: High risk		
Score	Ekata data: Identifies the IP address as low risk based on IP attributes and behavioral patterns that match normal good transactions if available.	Ekata data: Often identifies the IP address as risky based on IP attributes and behavioral patterns that are associated with fraudulent transactions.
<0.6: Low risk		
0.6-0.9: Neutral risk		
≥ 0.936: High risk		



IP Last Seen Days

Definition

- This attribute indicates when the last time the input IP address has been seen in Ekata's network.
- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Network, which is sourced from Ekata's global customers.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Input IP is missing, or IP is invalid 0: Never seen before; High risk 1-7: High risk 8-89: Neutral risk 90+: Low risk	Behavior: Most online buyers only shop once per month or less. Few shop weekly, and very few shop daily. Also, there are many more IP addresses than there are consumers, so while some shoppers may share an IP if they are using public Wi-Fi for example, the typical pattern is that each IP represents a portion of one shopper's transactions. Ekata data: Most IP addresses don't show up more than once per week in the Ekata Network. Frequency around one month is the most common. Daily frequencies are rare.	Behavior: Prefers proxy IPs or other ways to hide their real identity or uses their real IP in conjunction with mismatched stolen identities. Ekata data: May return a country code that does not match country of primary address or is originating from a country that have higher incidences of fraud (Russian Federation, Algeria, etc.).



IP Geolocation Country Code

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates the country location of the input IP address, returned as a two-character country abbreviation (e.g., US, CA, SG, DE, etc.).
- This attribute is derived from the latitude and longitude coordinates of the IP address to return the accompanying country.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: When Input IP address is missing, is invalid, is in a private range, or on provider timeout Otherwise, will return a two-character country code	Behavior: Uses their normal IP address associated with their country of residence Ekata data: Returns a country code that matches the country of residence if available	Behavior: Prefers proxy IPs or other ways to hide their identity or uses an IP in conjunction with mismatched identities. Ekata data: May return a country code that does not match country of primary address, or originates from a country associated with higher incidences of fraud (Russian Federation, Algeria, etc.)

IP Geolocation Subdivision

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: When Input IP address is missing, is invalid, is in a private range, or on provider timeout String: Contains a subregion of country which the IP is located in	Behavior: Matches up or is consistent with other location data provided.	Behavior: Is not consistent with other location data provided.



IP Phone Distance

Definition

- This attribute is derived from the Ekata Identity Graph which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute calculates the distance in miles between the input IP address's geolocation and input phone location if both are provided.
- The attribute is derived by measuring the latitude and longitude of both the IP address and the phone location and comparing the two to provide a distance calculation using Haversine formula distance in miles.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Input IP or phone is missing, or IP or phone is invalid 0: Medium-low risk (IP geo-locations are usually only precise to the postal level, so a zero usually indicates a partial address was given, rather than the IP and phone being the exact same location) 1-9: Low risk 10-99: Neutral risk 100+: High risk	Behavior: Typically shop from home, work, or on commute, and only rarely from a great distance from their phone's billing address except in the cases the phone is billed to a different address than the person resides. Ekata data: Generally, sees a small distance between IP location and phone location.	Behavior: Prefers proxy IPs or public Wi-Fi to hide their identity, and burner or temporary phones for which the associated location can vary. Ekata data: Often sees a large distance between IP location and phone location.



IP Address Distance

Definition

- This attribute is derived from the Ekata Identity Graph which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute calculates the distance in miles between the input IP address's geolocation and input physical address if both are provided. Both addresses need to be validated to at least the city level to get a response.
- The attribute is derived by measuring the latitude and longitude of both the IP address and the physical address and comparing the two to provide a distance calculation using Haversine formula distance in miles.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Input IP or address is missing, or IP or address is invalid, or precision of IP location or address is less than city-level	Behavior: Typically shop from home, work, or on commute, and only rarely from a great distance from their home address.	Behavior: Prefers proxy IPs or public Wi-Fi to hide their identity and includes addresses of cardholder victims which can come from anywhere in the world. For shipping addresses, tends to ship packages to vacant addresses, commercial mail drops, hotels, or other locations where they can pick up safely while not compromising their identity, and which may be far away from the IP location.
0: Medium-low risk (IP geo-locations are usually only precise to the postal level, so a zero usually indicates a partial address was given, rather than the IP and address being the exact same location)	Ekata data: Generally, sees a small distance between IP location and address.	
1-9: Low risk		
10-99: Neutral risk		
100+: High risk		Ekata data: Often sees a large distance between IP location and address.



Phone Valid

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute returns a true/false response that signals if the input phone number is validated by way of association to a valid carrier, and syntactically correct.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: When phone number is missing, or validation timed out True: Neutral risk False: High risk	Behavior: Submits their real phone number, which is a normal working phone. Ekata data: Identifies the phone number as valid if available.	Behavior: Prefers burner phones but may enter the victim's phone number or a fake phone number if they do not expect it to be called or texted. Ekata data: May identify phone number as invalid.

Phone Line Type

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute returns the associated line type with the input phone number and how it is used. It returns one of the following values below:

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<i>null</i> : when phone number is invalid or line type is unknown (occurs rarely for some international numbers) <i>landline</i> : medium-high risk <i>fixed-VoIP</i> : medium-high risk <i>mobile</i> : neutral risk <i>voicemail</i> : high risk <i>toll-free</i> : high risk <i>premium</i> : high risk <i>non-fixed-VoIP</i> : high risk <i>other</i> : high risk	Behavior: Use their normal personal phone. Ekata data: Identifies the phone line type if available when phone number is valid.	Behavior: Prefers burner phones which are often non-fixed VoIP or may enter the victim's phone or a fake phone number if they do not expect it to be called or texted. Ekata data: Phone line types associated with higher risk will be identified, e.g., Non-fixed VoIP, landline, or other non-mobile line types.



Phone Carrier

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates the carrier associated with the input phone number. The phone number must be valid for phone carrier to be returned.
- The coverage is at the MVNO (mobile virtual network operator) level for most countries meaning the value returned is typically the company who is provisioning the number to the end user rather than the major carrier who owns it.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: When phone number is invalid, or carrier is unknown</p> <p>Carrier among the top 3 most common for the country: Neutral risk</p> <p>Other carriers: Medium-high risk</p> <p>Carriers associated with burner phones: Very high risk</p>	<p>Behavior: Uses their normal personal phone, which is usually a common mobile carrier.</p> <p>Ekata data: Usually returns the name of a common phone carrier for the country.</p>	<p>Behavior: Prefers burner phones which are often non-fixed VoIP or may enter an impersonated victim's phone or a fake phone number if they do not expect it to be called or texted.</p> <p>Ekata data: Often returns the name of a known phone carrier. However, carriers associated with prepaid or VoIP services, occur more frequently.</p>



Phone Country Code

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates the country location of the input phone number, returned as a two-character country abbreviation (e.g., US, CA, SG, DE, etc.).
- This attribute is derived from the latitude and longitude coordinates of the phone number to return the accompanying country.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<i>null</i> : When input phone number is missing, is invalid, or on provider timeout Otherwise, will return a two-character country code	Behavior: Uses their normal phone number associated with their country of residence Ekata data: Returns a country code that matches the country of residence if available	Behavior: Prefers proxy IPs or other ways to hide their identity or uses a phone number in conjunction with mismatched stolen identities. Ekata data: May return a country code that does not match the country of primary address, or originates from a country associated with higher incidences of fraud (Russian Federation, Algeria, etc.)



Phone Last Seen Days

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Network, which is sourced from Ekata’s global customers.
- This attribute indicated the last time the input phone number has been seen in Ekata’s network.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: Input phone is missing or invalid</p> <p>0: Never seen before; High risk</p> <p>1-7: High risk</p> <p>8-89: Neutral risk</p> <p>90+: Low risk</p>	<p>Behavior: Most online buyers only shop or sign up to accounts once per month or less. Few shop weekly and very few shop daily. Typically, the same personal phone is used on all transactions. Multiple people typically do not share the same phone.</p> <p>Ekata data: Most phones don’t show up more than once per week in the Ekata Network. Frequency around one month is the most common. Daily frequencies are uncommon.</p>	<p>Behavior: Burner or throwaway phones are preferred which are used frequently.</p> <p>Ekata data: Fraudulent signup often has a phone seen in the last day, or in the last few days.</p>



Phone Email First Seen Days

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Network, which is sourced from Ekata’s global customers.
- This attribute indicates the first time that the input phone and email has been seen in Ekata’s network.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: Both phone or email is missing or invalid.</p> <p>0: Never seen together before, medium-high risk</p> <p>1-7: high risk</p> <p>7-179: medium-low risk</p> <p>180+: very low risk</p>	<p>Behavior: Submits their real email address and phone number, both of which are typically used online frequently. However, they are not always used together. Many accounts do not require both.</p> <p>Ekata data: Likely first saw the phone or email a long time ago. Emails or phone numbers seen only recently are less common, since consumers do not change emails or phone numbers often.</p>	<p>Behavior: Typically utilizes disposable or temporary emails and change email addresses and phone numbers frequently. If they are impersonating a victim, they rarely use the victim’s email unless they have gained full login access. Prefers burner phones but may enter the victim’s phone number or a fake phone number if they do not expect it to be called or texted.</p> <p>Ekata data: Likely have never seen the email and phone used before or have seen them only recently. Email/phone pairs first seen a long time ago are unlikely.</p>



Phone to Name

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates if the name associated with the input phone number matches the input name.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Null: Where the phone number is invalid, or input name or phone is not provided	Behavior: Submits their real name and real phone number in transactions, and generally keeps the same phone number for a long time.	Behavior: Prefers burner or throwaway phones that they change frequently. In rare cases may use the victim's phone number if they don't expect it to be called or texted.
Match: Name linked to phone number matches Input name; low risk	Ekata data: Can often verify the match. May not be able to match due to coverage gaps or family plans where the subscriber's name doesn't match the phone owner's name, etc.	Ekata data: Will very rarely verify the match, and often will have no name attached to the phone.
No-match: Name linked to phone number does not match input name; high risk		
Not found: No name associated with phone number; neutral risk, high risk where coverage is high		



Phone to Address

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates if the location of the input phone matches the location of the input address.
 - Match: phone location matches input address line 1, address line 2, city, state, and postal code
 - "Match" indicates that we have authoritative data sources telling us that the phone number and address queried together are also attributed/linked to one another. Aside from sources that provide both phone and address matching together, we will also derive a "Match" status if multiple sources can corroborate the match via additional PII linkages.
 - Postal match: phone location postal code matches input address postal code
 - Zip4-match: phone location postal code zip+4 matches input address postal code zip+4. This is more precise than postal match but is only possible if zip4 was passed in the API query
 - City-state-match: phone location city and state matches input address and state
 - Metro-match: phone location is in the same metro area as input address
 - Country-match: phone location country matches input address country
 - No-match: phone location does not match input address

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Match: Low risk	Behavior: Submits their real address and real phone number when opening accounts, and generally keeps the same phone number and address for a long time. Ekata data: Can often verify the match. May not be able to match due to coverage gaps, subletting, or minors under 18.	Behavior: If impersonating a victim, then will typically enter the victim's address, but may falsify the phone number (or vice versa). Fraudsters may also enter a fabricated name and address, or in rare cases use their own real identity data. Ekata data: Will do the phone to address match to assess and qualify the risk.
Postal match or zip+4 match: Medium risk		
City-state-match or metro match: Medium risk		
Country match: High risk		
No match: Highest risk. The phone number is normalizing to another country		



Address Validity Level

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates the level to which the physical address could be validated. For example, if the address was only valid to the city level (but not to the house level), it would return "valid_to_city".

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
Missing_address: Where the address is not provided; Neutral risk	Behavior: Enters their real complete billing or shipping address, except in cases they only need to submit a partial address, e.g., postal code. In rare cases they may omit their apartment number.	Behavior: Enters the victim's real complete billing address, except in cases they only need to submit a partial address, e.g., postal code, or in cases where they do not have the full address of the victim. If they do not have the full address, they may fabricate an address that includes the details they do have.
Invalid: Medium-high risk	Typos are relatively frequent.	
Valid_to_country: Medium-high risk	Ekata data: Most common, validates the full address provided.	Ekata data: May validate the address but may identify it as invalid or partially valid.
Valid_to_city: Medium-high risk	It may identify it as invalid or partially valid.	
Valid_to_street: Neutral risk		
Valid_to_house_number: Neutral risk		
Valid_to_house_number_missing_apartment: Neutral risk		
Valid: Neutral risk		



Address to Name

Definition

- This attribute comes from the Ekata Identity Graph, which is sourced from authoritative data providers.
- This attribute indicates if the input name matches any of the people linked to the physical address.

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: Where the address is not validated to at least street level, Input name is not provided, or address to name coverage is not available.</p> <p>Match: Name linked to address matches input name; medium-low risk</p> <p>No-match: Name linked to address does not match input name; high risk</p> <p>Not found: No name associated with address found; neutral risk, high risk where coverage is high</p>	<p>Behavior: Submits their real name and real address in account sign ups, usually without typos, and usually lives at the same address for a year or longer.</p> <p>Ekata data: Can often verify the match. May be able to match due to coverage gaps, subletting or minors under 18 years.</p>	<p>Behavior: Typically enters the victim's name and address but may not have the complete address. In this case they may fabricate or find some real address that matches the data they do have.</p> <p>Ekata data: Sometimes verifies the match, but often will return no-match or no name found.</p>



Identity Network Score

Definition

- Identity Network Score is a machine learning prediction that provides insight into how risky a digital interaction is based on activity patterns of the identity elements that are being used.
- Activity patterns that the Network Score focuses on include velocity, popularity, volatility, and age/maturity of the element(s).
 - Velocity: how often element(s) are used
 - Popularity: At how many merchants element(s) are used
 - Volatility: how often element(s) are used with other elements
 - Age/maturity: when elements were first/last seen
- Network Score is derived from the Ekata Identity Network, which is made up of more than 400M global monthly queries that surface usage patterns of identity data provided by Ekata's network of customers.
- To return a score, at least one valid element is needed. For best results, it is recommended sending as much of the following information as possible:
 - Name
 - Phone
 - Address
 - Email Address
 - IP Address

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: A service timeout is experienced or did not get enough Inputs</p> <p>Range: 0.000 - 1.000</p> <p><.33: Low risk</p> <p>.33-.8: Uncertain</p> <p>>.8: High risk</p> <p>Note: Risk thresholds will vary per customer as it depends on customer's specific distribution.</p>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 3 IP addresses used with primary address in last 3 months• Primary address and email used together at 5 businesses in last month• Email seen in 0 transactions in last 24 hours• Phone and email first seen together 2 or more years ago	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 15 IP addresses used with same email in last 6 months• Primary address used in 28 digital interactions in 1 month• 20 primary addresses used with one secondary address in 3 months• Email seen in 15 more transactions in last 2 weeks vs. 3 months



Identity Risk Score

Definition

- The Identity Risk Score is a comprehensive risk score calculated in real time that combines authoritative data (match statuses, metadata, linkages) from the Ekata Identity Graph as well as usage patterns of elements in the Ekata Identity Network.
- To return a score, the required Inputs must be provided. For best results, it is recommended sending as much of the following information as possible:
 - Name
 - Phone
 - Address
 - Email Address
 - IP Address

Assessing Risk

Field Values	Good Consumers	Fraudsters
<p><i>null</i>: A service timeout is experienced</p> <p>Range: 0 - 500</p> <p><250: Low risk</p> <p>250-350: Uncertain</p> <p>>350: High risk</p> <p>Note: Risk thresholds will vary per customer as it depends on customer's specific distribution</p>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email, phone, and address are all valid and match to name• IP is valid and risk is low• Short distance between address and IP or phone• Email and address first seen together 2 or more years ago• Email first seen 2 or more years ago	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email, phone, and addresses are either not found or could not be validated• IP geolocation does not match physical address• Large distance between address and IP or phone• 15 IP addresses used with same email in last 6 months• Primary address used in 28 digital interactions in 1 month



Upgrading Score Versions

Ekata provides a transition period to upgrade from the current version to the new release version of models. During the transition period, both model versions are made available for test and validation. At the close of the transition period, the newly released models will automatically become the production model.

Admins can choose which model version outputs are returned in the admin panel at app.ekata.com.

Admins can also choose when to migrate each API key independently.

Your specific score distribution may be impacted with a new version upgrade. To prepare for the transition, Ekata recommends:

- Retuning your rulesets or retraining your fraud model with the new Ekata scores on a historical dataset. Ekata can provide a score backfill file that contains the `account_signup_ids` passed in the original queries along with the new and current scores for a given time.
- Joining the score backfill file with your internal dataset using the `account_signup_ids` allows retuning and retraining fraud rulesets with the newer score against known fraud outcome labels.
- Reviewing the score thresholds in your current fraud implementation with the newer score model version to determine if changes are needed.



Disclaimers

This model is designed to be an informational tool only. This model is provided as a rough estimate of authentication-based risk decisioning performance. The analysis performed by this model is a series of general estimates which are based upon the underlying information and assumptions now available. That information may change over time, and the analysis would need to be updated to reflect those changes for the analysis to be useful. The assumptions regarding authorization rates are hypothetical and there can be no guarantee that they will be achieved. Actual results may vary substantially from the figures shown. Mastercard accepts no responsibility for any losses arising from any use of or reliance upon any calculations or conclusions reached using this Model.

MASTERCARD MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING (A) THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT; (B) RELATING TO THE PERFORMANCE OF SMART AUTHENTICATION OR USE OF RISK INFORMATION; (C) THAT USE OF SMART AUTHENTICATION OR RISK INFORMATION SHALL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR ERROR-FREE; OR (D) CONCERNING THE ACCURACY, QUALITY, RELIABILITY, SUITABILITY, OR EFFECTIVENESS OF THE RISK INFORMATION OR ANY OTHER DATA, RESULTS, CONTENT, OR OTHER INFORMATION OBTAINED OR GENERATED BY COMPANY THROUGH ITS USE OF SMART AUTHENTICATION OR ANY RISK INFORMATION. SMART AUTHENTICATION, RISK INFORMATION, AND OTHER MASTERCARD IP IS PROVIDED "AS IS," WITH ALL FAULTS, KNOWN AND UNKNOWN. THE COMPANY ASSUMES THE ENTIRE RISK ARISING OUT OF ITS USE OF SMART AUTHENTICATION AND ITS USE OF THE RISK INFORMATION UNDER ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, INCLUDING THOSE RELATING TO PRIVACY AND DATA PROTECTION, BANKING, CREDIT, AND ANTI-DISCRIMINATION.

